Motions to Exclude and Motions to Strike in AIA Trials

Justin T. Arbes and Kevin W. Cherry Administrative Patent Judges Patent Trial and Appeal Board June 7, 2018

> UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Webinar Slides and Materials

https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patenttrialandappealboard

Home / Patents: Application Process / Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) conducts trials, including inter partes, post-grant, and covered business method patent reviews and derivation proceedings; hears appeals from adverse examiner decisions in patent applications and reexamination proceedings; and renders decisions in interferences.

Decisions

informative.

Trials

Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.

Appeals

Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Resources and guidance

procedures, forms, and guidance.

PTAB Events

sponsored by PTAB.

Learn about the Patent Trial and Appeal Board or find key policies.

Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB. including dispositions. pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.

Browse public final agency decisions

of PTAB, including decisions

designated as precedential or



Find where our judges are speaking and learn more about events

mission.

Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and

Guidance on SAS NEW

Notice of Proposed **Rulemaking of Claim** Construction Standard used in PTAB AIA Trial Proceedings C NEW

Upcoming PTAB events

Impact of SAS, Motion to Amend Practice, and Claim Construction in **Trial Proceedings** Jun 5, 2018 12:00 PM ET

Motions to Exclude and Motions to Strike in AIA **Trial Proceedings** Jun 7, 2018 12:00 PM ET

PTAB/TTAB Stadium Tour at University of San **Diego School of Law** Sep 20, 2018 10:00 AM PT San Diego, CA



R Hearings Review guidance, schedules, and

inclement weather advisories for oral arguments for appeals, interferences, and trials.

9. 20 **PTAB Data Tools and IT** Systems

Stav informed regarding maintenance events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or provide feedback.



2

Webinar Slides and Materials

| USPTO UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | | | | | Jobs Contact Us | MyUSPTO |
|---|----------|-------|-----------|------------------------|--|------------------|--------------|
| PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | | | | | Search uspto.gov | Q |
| Paten | s Traden | narks | IP Policy | Learning and Resources | | ි Quick lin | nks 🗸 |
| Home / Patents: Application Process / Patent Trial and Appeal Board / PTAB Events | | | | | | 📑 Share f | <u>Print</u> |

| pplication process | PTAB Events | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Search for patents | | | | | |
| Learn about patent classification | Details about programs produced by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. Such events include Chats with the Chief, the Annual Judicial Conference, Boardside Chats, and Stadium Tour stops at law schools. | | | | |
| Filing online | You can also view the PTAB events calendar to view all PTAB events past and | | | | |
| Checking application status | present. | | | | |
| Responding to Office | | | | | |
| actions | Boardside Chats webinar series | Chat with the Chief webinar series | | | |
| Patent Trial and Appeal Board | PTAB speakers share best practices by webinar | The chief judge engages in a two-way conversation on the PTAB with stakeholders by webinar | | | |
| Trials | | | | | |
| Appeals | PTAB Judicial Conference | PTAB/TTAB Live Hearing Stadium Tour | | | |
| Appeals | Coming soon, details about a planned PTAB-hosted | Educational sessions delivered by PTAB judges being | | | |
| Decisions | conference | held at law schools throughout the country | | | |
| Hearings | | | | | |
| Resources | Request a speaker We welcome requests to speak to the public about | Events archive Details and resources from past events sponsored by | | | |
| Statistics | USPTO priorities and initiatives. To request a PTAB judge to speak at your event, please complete | PTAB or involving its members | | | |
| About PTAB | our speaking request form. | | | | |
| PTAB Help | | | | | |
| Petitions | If you have suggestions for other topics that you would event, please email your suggestions to PTABOutreach | | | | |

Outline

- General motion rules and considerations
- Rules for presenting evidence
- Objections to evidence and supplemental evidence
- Authority for excluding or striking
- Reply testimony and evidence



General Rules for Motions - §§ 42.20-25

- Prior Board authorization required for entry, § 42.20(b)
 - Motion to strike
- Exceptions:
 - Impractical
 - Motions to seal
 - Motion filed with petition, e.g. to waive page limits § 42.24(a)(2)
 - Automatically authorized
 - Request for rehearing
 - Observations on cross examination
 - Motion to exclude evidence



Burden of Proof for Motions - §§ 42.20-21

- Board may order briefing on any issue involved in trial
 - Board can request parties to brief an issue, e.g., the effect of a new Fed. Cir. or
 S. Ct. decision on the proceeding
- Burden of proof to establish entitlement to requested relief is on the moving party, § 42.20(c)
- Notice of basis for relief, § 42.21
 - Board may require party to file and set times
 - Must state relief requested and basis for entitlement
 - Must provide sufficient detail to put Board and opponent on notice of precise relief requested
 - Party limited to filing motions consistent with notice



Content of Motions - §§ 42.22-23

- Motion contents (separate paper), § 42.22
 - Statement of precise relief requested
 - Full statement of reasons for request; detailed explanation of significance of evidence, material facts, law; showings required by rules
- Oppositions and replies, § 42.23
 - Comply with requirements for motion
 - Identify any disputed material facts (if motion contained a statement of material facts), otherwise admitted
 - Replies directed only to corresponding opposition



Page Limits for Motions - § 42.24

- Motions (excluding motions to amend) 15 pages, § 42.24(a)(1)(v), unless waiver granted in advance, § 42.24(a)(2)
- Oppositions same number of pages as corresponding motion, § 42.24(b)(3)
- Replies to motions (excluding motions to amend) 5 pages, § 42.24 (c)(2)
- Limits INCLUDE any statement of material facts to be admitted or denied, § 42.24(a)(1)
- Limits EXCLUDE table of contents, certificate of service, appendix of exhibits, § 42.24(a)(1)
- Board may set other page constraints, e.g., fewer pages set when granting authorization to file



Times for Filing Motions - § 42.25

- Set in Scheduling Order
 - PO motion to amend (Due Date 1), Pet. opposition (Due Date 2), and PO reply (Due Date 3)
 - Observations on cross examination of reply Witness (Due Date 4) and Response to Observations (Due Date 5)
 - Motion to exclude evidence (Due Date 4), opposition (Due Date 5), and reply (Due Date 6)
- Set during conference
 - Initial conference (if ordered or requested, typically within one month of institution)
 - Special conference seeking authorization; can decide procedural matters during call or shortly thereafter without additional briefing
- Board can deny relief not promptly sought, § 42.25(b)
- Default time period for oppositions is one month, § 42.25(a)(2) typically set much shorter



Considerations in Authorizing Motions

- Maintain control of the proceeding
- Effect on schedule
 - Board has statutory deadlines to meet
 - Seek efficient, effective resolution of issues
- Effect on the parties



Rules for Presenting Evidence

- Rule 42.61 Admissibility
- Rule 42.62 Applicability of the Federal Rules of Evidence
 - "Except as otherwise provided in this subpart, the Federal Rules of Evidence shall apply to a proceeding."

• Rule 42.63 Form of Evidence

- "Evidence consists of affidavits, declarations, transcripts of depositions, documents, and things."
- "All evidence must be filed in the form of an exhibit."
- For non-English documents, a translation and an affidavit (or declaration) attesting to the accuracy of the translation must be filed with the document

Rules for Presenting Evidence

• Rule 42.53 Taking Testimony

- Uncompelled direct testimony must be submitted in the form of an affidavit or declaration.
- All other testimony, including testimony compelled under
 35 U.S.C. § 24, must be in the form of a deposition transcript
- Declarants must be made available for cross examination
- Parties may agree to video-recorded testimony, but may not submit such testimony without prior authorization of the Board
- The Board may authorize or require live or video-recorded testimony

Rules for Presenting Evidence

• Rule 42.65 Expert testimony; tests and data

- "Expert testimony that does not disclose the underlying facts or data on which the opinion is based is entitled to little or no weight."
- "Testimony on United States patent law or patent examination practice will not be admitted."
- If a party relies on a technical test or data from such a test, the party must provide an affidavit to explain the test or data

• Rules 42.104(b)(5), 42.204(b)(5), 42.304(b)(5)

- "The Board may exclude or give no weight to the evidence where a party has failed to state its relevance or to identify specific portions of the evidence that support the challenge."
- See also Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,763 (Aug. 14, 2012)

Objections – Deposition Evidence

• Rule 42.64 Deposition evidence

- An objection to the admissibility of deposition evidence must be made during the deposition
- Evidence to cure the objection must be provided during the deposition, unless the parties to the deposition stipulate otherwise on the deposition record
- Rule 42.53(f)(8) Any objection to the content, form, or manner of taking the deposition, including the qualifications of the officer, is waived unless made on the record during the deposition and preserved in a timely filed motion to exclude
- See also Testimony Guidelines in the Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,772.

Objections to Other Evidence Under § 42.64(b)

- Any objection to evidence submitted during a preliminary proceeding must be **filed** within ten business days of the institution of the trial
- Once a trial has been instituted, any objection must be **filed** within five business days of service of evidence to which the objection is directed
- The objection must identify the grounds for the objection with sufficient particularity to allow correction in the form of supplemental evidence



Supplemental Evidence Under § 42.64(b)

- The party relying on evidence to which an objection is timely **served** may respond to the objection by serving supplemental evidence within ten business days of service of the objection
- Supplemental evidence should not be filed at the time of service because it may be sufficient to overcome the admissibility objection
 - If it does not, the evidence may be filed as supporting evidence with an opposition to a motion to exclude



Motion to Exclude Evidence Under § 42.64(c)

- A motion to exclude must be filed to preserve any objection
- The motion must:
 - Identify where in the record the objection originally was made;
 - Identify where in the record the evidence sought to be excluded was relied upon;
 - Address objections to exhibits in numerical order; and
 - Explain each objection
- The motion must explain why the evidence is not admissible (e.g., authenticity or inadmissible hearsay) but may not be used to challenge the sufficiency of the evidence to prove a particular fact

Admissibility vs. Sufficiency of Evidence

- Admissibility of evidence may be challenged by submitting arguments in a motion to exclude evidence (e.g., authenticity or inadmissible hearsay)
- **Sufficiency of evidence** to prove a particular fact (e.g., credibility or the weight of the evidence) may be challenged by submitting arguments in a patent owner response or reply



Authority for Motions to Strike

- Not discussed expressly in the rules or Trial Practice Guide
- **Rule 42.5(a)** "The Board may determine the proper course of conduct in a proceeding..."
- **Rule 42.7(a)** "The Board may expunge any paper . . . that is not authorized under this part or in a Board order or that is filed contrary to a Board order."
- Rule 42.12(b)(2) The Board may impose sanctions including "[a]n order expunging . . . a paper"
- **Rule 42.20(a)** "Relief, other than a petition requesting institution, must be requested in the form of a motion."

Timing of Motions

- **Motions to exclude:** Typically decided in final written decision, but can be decided earlier
 - Parties can request a conference call if circumstances warrant an earlier disposition
- **Motions to strike:** Typically decided prior to final written decision
 - Alternative relief (e.g., filing a list of allegedly improper arguments) may be granted prior to the hearing



Reply Testimony and Evidence

- **Rule 42.23(b)** "A reply may only respond to arguments raised in the corresponding opposition, patent owner preliminary response, or patent owner response."
- Panel typically decides, without additional briefing
- Shifting theories vs. proper reply evidence
- Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., 821 F.3d 1359, 1369-70 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (Board did not abuse its discretion in refusing to consider reply brief arguments advocating a **"new theory"** of unpatentability under 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b))
- Apple Inc. v. e-Watch, Inc., Case IPR2015-00412, slip op. at 44 (PTAB May 6, 2016) (Paper 50) ("'Respond,' in the context of 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b), does not mean embark in a **new direction** with a new approach as compared to the position originally taken in the Petition. Accepting such belatedly presented new arguments would be unjust to the Patent Owner and we decline to do so.")



Motion to Exclude Dos and Don'ts

• *Do*

- Focus on the exhibits that really matter
- Focus on the best basis for exclusion
- Explain the basis for excluding the evidence --- the movant has the burden
- Be succinct!
- Cite authority --- cases and treatises --- to support your position

• Don't

- Seek to exclude as many exhibits as possible
- Have a laundry list of possible bases for excluding (e.g., "Exhibit 2001 should be excluded under Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403, 602, 701, 802, 901, and/or 1002.")
- Merely cite the rule and assert that the exhibit should be excluded
- Treat the Motion to Exclude as an additional merits brief



Motion to Strike Dos and Don'ts

• Do

- Be narrowly focused
- Propose alternative relief --- e.g., chart identifying new arguments --- if panel does not wish to authorize motion
- Be succinct! --- Shorter motions are more likely to be authorized
- Explain why it matters

• Don't

- Seek to strike broad swathes of evidence or briefing
- Have a laundry list of possible reasons for excluding
- Include *ad hominem* attacks against opposing counsel
- Fail to explain the importance of what you seek to strike



Webinar Slides and Materials

https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patenttrialandappealboard

Home / Patents: Application Process / Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) conducts trials, including inter partes, post-grant, and covered business method patent reviews and derivation proceedings; hears appeals from adverse examiner decisions in patent applications and reexamination proceedings; and renders decisions in interferences.

Decisions

informative.

Trials

R

Hearings

and trials.

Manage or review pending inter parte review, post-grant review, covered business method, derivation, and interference proceedings.

Review guidance, schedules, and

inclement weather advisories for oral

arguments for appeals, interferences,

Appeals

Manage or review proceedings directed to adverse decisions of examiners in patent applications, reissue applications, and reexaminations of issued patents.

Resources and guidance Learn about the Patent Trial and

PTAB Events

sponsored by PTAB.

and learn more about events

Appeal Board or find key policies.

procedures, forms, and guidance.

Statistics

View performance benchmarks of the PTAB. including dispositions. pendency, inventory, and other tracking measures.

Browse public final agency decisions

of PTAB, including decisions

designated as precedential or

lacksquareAbout PTAB

Find where our judges are speaking Discover the history of the PTAB and map out its modern structure and mission.

Guidance on SAS

Notice of Proposed **Rulemaking of Claim** Construction Standard used in PTAB AIA Trial Proceedings C NEW

Upcoming PTAB events

Impact of SAS, Motion to Amend Practice, and Claim Construction in **Trial Proceedings** Jun 5, 2018 12:00 PM ET

Motions to Exclude and Motions to Strike in AIA **Trial Proceedings** Jun 7, 2018 12:00 PM ET

PTAB/TTAB Stadium Tour at University of San **Diego School of Law** Sep 20, 2018 10:00 AM PT San Diego, CA



9. **PTAB Data Tools and IT** Systems

Stav informed regarding maintenance events, obtain direct access to PTAB automated information sharing platforms, subscribe for updates, or provide feedback.

Webinar Slides and

| Mat | USPTO UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | | | About Us | Jobs Contact Us Search uspto.gov | MyUSPTO |
|-------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| 1-140 | Patents | Trademarks | IP Policy | Learning and Resources | | ි Quick li | nks 🗸 |
| | Home / Patents: / | Application Process / Pa | tent Trial and Appe | al Board / PTAB Events | | 🛃 <u>Share</u> I | Print |

| Application process | PTAB Events | |
|---|---|---|
| Search for patents Learn about patent classification Filing online Checking application status Responding to Office actions Patent Trial and Appeal Board | Details about programs produced by the Patent Trial a include Chats with the Chief, the Annual Judicial Confe Stadium Tour stops at law schools. You can also view the <u>PTAB events calendar</u> to view al present. Boardside Chats webinar series PTAB speakers share best practices by webinar | rence, Boardside Chats, and |
| Trials Appeals Decisions Hearings | PTAB Judicial Conference Coming soon, details about a planned PTAB-hosted conference | PTAB/TTAB Live Hea Educational sessions delive held at law schools throug |
| Resources Statistics About PTAB PTAB Help | Request a speaker We welcome requests to speak to the public about USPTO priorities and initiatives. To request a PTAB judge to speak at your event, please complete our <u>speaking request form</u> . | Events archive Details and resources from PTAB or involving its memi |



hief webinar series iges in a two-way conversation keholders by webinar

Hearing Stadium Tour

delivered by PTAB judges being proughout the country

from past events sponsored by members

Petitions

If you have suggestions for other topics that you would like to see covered by the PTAB in a future Outreach event, please email your suggestions to PTABOutreach@uspto.gov.

Upcoming PTAB Webinars

| Event | Date | Торіс | Judge Speakers |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| PTAB on Patent Quality | June 12, 2018 | Best Practices Before the Patent Trial and Appeals Board | Kalyan Deshpande Susan Mitchell Michael Zecher |
| Boardside Chat | Oct 4, 2018 | Motions to Seal, Protective Orders, and Confidential Information in AIA Trials | Mike Kim Amanda Wieker |



Judicial Conference Schedule

- Thursday, June 28: Alexandria
- Monday, July 9: Detroit
- Wednesday, July 11: Denver
- Thursday, July 26: San Jose
- Tuesday, July 31: Dallas

| July 2018 💻 | | | | | | |
|-------------|----|----|----------|----|----|----|
| Su | Мо | Tu | We | Th | Fr | Sa |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | <u>4</u> | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

© www.calendarpedia.com

Judicial Conference Agenda

| Time | Торіс | Speakers |
|----------------------|--|--|
| 9 to 9:10 am | Opening Remarks | Vice Chief Janet Gongola |
| 9:10 to 9:20 am | Director Remarks | Director lancu |
| 9:20 to 10 am | Best Practices for Written and Oral Advocacy | Judge Panel with Moderator Judge Bruce Wieder |
| 10 to 11 am | Practicum: Written Advocacy | Lead Judges Aaron Moore and Georgianna Braden |
| 11 am to 11:15 am | BREAK | |
| 11:15 am to 12:15 pm | Practicum: Oral Advocacy | Judge Meredith Petravick and Lead Judge Matt Clements |
| 12:15 to 1:30 pm | LUNCH | |
| 1:30 to 2:00 pm | State of the Board | Deputy Chief Scott Boalick |
| 2:00 to 2:50 pm | Small Group Discussion: Section 101 | Lead Judge Jessica Kaiser |
| 2:50 to 3:00 pm | BREAK | |
| 3:00 to 3:50 pm | Small Ground Discussion: Motion to Amend | Lead Judge Melissa Haapala |
| 3:50 to 4:30 pm | Interview with the Chief | Chief David Ruschke |

Thank You



