Dear Commissioner:

I respectfully oppose the proposed new fees on at least the following grounds:

(1) As a new fee, the newly proposed practitioner fee (and rules) likely must be implemented, if at all, only after issuing a Fed. Reg. notice under the APA.

(2) Any proposed practitioner fees are a further tax on innovation, especially on smaller law firms that represent smaller entities.

(3) Practitioner fees will simply be siphoned off to Treasury funds, further disincenting innovation by misallocating funds to revenue-losing and wholly unrelated drains.

(4) New USPTO practitioner rules also seem to require unneeded CLE where state bar associations already provide ample training and updating requirements.

Thank you, Ron Harris

Ron C. Harris, Jr. | The Harris Firm | 202-470-0126 | Fax 202-478-2725 | 922 N Street, NW, Suite 101, Washington, DC 20001 | <u>www.harrisfirm.com</u> *This message, and its attachments if any, may be privileged and confidential.*