Director lancu,

Thank you for introducing the first breath of fresh air and sanity into an otherwise diminishing patent system.

Inventors and those, like myself, who rely upon the sanctity of patents when investing must have a trust in the system, which includes how patents will be viewed when challenged. The legal/moral consistency you are suggesting by replacing the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (BRI) with the Phillips claim construction is absolutely needed.

America grew to be what it is due to many things, not the least of which is the innovation as represented by individual inventors. But, unfortunately, the power of big money/corporate juggernauts have influenced the introductions of laws and processes which have aided the "efficient infringers" with the stealing of intellectual property. This theft has diminished America's patent standing in the world and, in the long-run will negatively affect the same corporate thieves, as innovation in America wanes.

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to share my support of your efforts. Your proposal is a much needed first step. Based upon your public addresses, it appears that you fully understand the magnitude of the issues and problems with the existing system and you are working to correct them....one step at a time. I applaud you!

Alan Holly