Sir/Madam:

I strongly support, and urge adoption of, the proposed rule that is the subject of your May 3, 2018 notice, subject as above.

It is absolutely necessary that the proposed rule be adopted as soon as possible for a multitude of reasons, including beginning the restoration of our patent system to global preeminence, encouraging innovation, and fulfillment of the spirit and original purpose underlying the AIA.

Of critical importance, I urge further that the new rule be implemented so as to be applicable to any USPTO post grant proceeding that is at <u>any stage</u>, including those that have been made the subject of a final order and that are now, or sufficiently recent that they could be, in the appellate process. More specifically, USPTO should, *sua sponte*, vacate all PTAB orders that have been issued for all post grant proceedings in which any claims construction standard other than Phillips was used, in which the result was adverse to the patent-holder, and where the order has been appealed (and remains in any stage thereof) or remains subject to appeal. This implementation step, also, is necessary in order to achieve the goals of the AIA, basic fairness, conservation of litigation expense, and for purposes of judicial economy.

I also urge that the rule change be expanded to be made applicable to <u>all post grant</u> <u>reviews/reexaminations/IPRs</u> (regardless of their statutory basis), so that whenever a claims construction is at issue in any USPTO post grant proceeding, under any statute, only one standard, Phillips, is used.

I have never witnessed the abuse, inequity, duplication of effort, wasted expense and time directly caused by the implementation of the BRI standard. This is not even considering the damage done to the patent system in the U.S. It is a major deterrent to innovation. It is further most frustrating listening to select polticians supporting such an abusive policy. Indeed, these individuals are given extensive financial support from the "efficient infringement" lobby. These individuals never mention the extensive harm done by the use of the BRI by the PTAB.I wholeheartedly support the use of the Phillips standard and the abrogation of the use of the BRI. The PTAB should be a repair shop, not a junkyard.

Thank you.

Regards,

Henry Hodgson | Quote Analyst - Chippewa Falls, WI | TTM Technologies, Inc.

715-720-5695 | <u>www.ttmtech.com</u>

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.