# First Action Interview Pilot Program **Pre-Interview Communication**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
xxxxxxx	xxxxxx	
Examiner	Art Unit	
xxxxxx	XXXX	Page 1 of 2

-The MAILING OR NOTIFICATION DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING OR NOTIFICATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

This time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for only ONE additional MONTH. This

communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).
Applicant must, within the time period for reply, file: (1) A letter requesting <u>not</u> to have a first action interview; (2) A reply under 37 (1.111 waiving the first action interview and First Action Interview Office Action; or (3) An Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) electronically via EFS-Web, accompanied by a proposed amendment or arguments, and schedule the interview with months from the filing of the request. A failure to respond to this communication will be treated as a request not to have an interview If applicant waives the First Action Interview Office Action, the instant Pre-Interview Communication is deemed the first Office Action the Merits. The next subsequent Office action may be made final if appropriate. See MPEP 706.07(a).
Disposition of Claims
3) X Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
4) X Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are allowed.
5) X Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.
6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Contact Information -
Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-XXXXX Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: Monday-Friday, 8 a.m 4:30 p.m.
Supervisor's Name: Supervisory XXXX
Supervisor's Telephone Number: (571) 272-XXXX
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application

-ttaciment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

						Application No.		Applicant(s)	
1	First	Acti	on Interview	v Pilot Progra	am				
	Pre-Interview Communication		Examiner		Art Unit	Page 2 of			
				Notifica	tion of R	l ejection(s) and/or Object	tion(s)		
#	Clain	n(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis		Brief Explanation of Rejection			
1	1		U,V	103(a)	for the	nce U discloses claim 1 (se grid manager) except for ation below)			
2	2, 4-7	7, 9-13	U,V	103(a)		g on the rejection of claimes 15-18); claim 5 (see p. 4 ont.)			
3	3		U,V,W	103(a)	U and V do not disclose the plurality of computing devicesis a blade management system. W discloses this at p. 2. It would have been obvious to use W's blade mgt. system with the combined system of U and V in order to adapt easily, (see cont.				
4	8		U,V	103(a)	would I	not disclose the network is nave been obvious to use v ge between users efficient	/'s world wide		
5	14		U,V,W	103(a)	Reference U and V disclose the limitations of claim 14 (see p. 2 lines 35-46 for the grid network, see p.4 lines 11-21 for the grid manager) except the limitations of using JAVA. (see continuation)				
	•				•				
	ı ı			Ex	panded	Discussion/Commentary			
1	computing devices having the specific operating system". Reference V discloses this limitation at p. 3 lines 25-30. It would have been obvious to use reference V's algorithm with reference U's Cluster in order to find a suitable Collection of Resources that meet a user's needs (reference V, p. 2 lines 33-37).								
2	- note that 5.4 teaches that a RAM or any other known memory may be used, thus covering claims 10-13)								
3	operate efficiently, and manage seamlessly (see reference W, p. 2).								
5	W discloses this limitation at p. 3 lines 20-39. One would want to utilize the platform-independent characteristic of JAVA programming language in the network of U as it allows for system compatibility across a wide range of systems, giving greater flexibility to the network designer.								
	Note - claim 11 is objected to under Rule 75 for failing to have proper antecedence for "said computing systems".								
DATE: Examiner Signature:				Primary Fxa	rimary Examiner Signature:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413FP (Rev. 07-09)

First Action Interview Pilot Program - Pre-Interview Communication

# Notice of References Cited Example 2 Application/Control No. 11XXXXXX Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination Art Unit Page 1 of 1

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-			
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-		•	
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Η	US-			
	ı	US-			
	7	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

_		Non i Allen Bossiniano
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Smith, "Design and Evaluation of Framework" IEEE. 1998. pp. 90-99.
	V	Chase et al. "Dynamic Virtual Clusters". IEEE. 1997. pp. 30-80.
	W	"Blade Server Data Sheet" ACM. 2000. pp. 13-40.
	X	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.