From:Champagne, DonaldSent:Wednesday, May 28, 2003 8:01 AMTo:Unity CommentsSubject:Proposed Unity of Invention studyAs one examiner of 5 years experience, I have no objection to the proposed study.I would strongly urge that the study be performed by someone who has achieved

the rank of Primary Examiner, and therefore would have substantial personal experience with both the Office's restriction practice and the PCT unity of invention standard.

Donald L. Champagne, PE, PhD Examiner, USPTO Art Unit 3622