From: Robin Muthig [mailto:rmuthig@ipo.org] **Sent:** Wednesday, November 25, 2009 1:52 PM

To: Ombudsman Program

Cc: Herbert C. Wamsley; dana@ipo.org

Subject: Comments on Patents Ombudsman Pilot Program

Please see the attached letter from Intellectual Property Owners Association regarding the Patents Ombudsman Pilot Program

Ms. Robin Muthig
Assistant to the Executive Director
Intellectual Property Owners Association (IPO)
1501 M Street, NW
Suite 1150
Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 202-507-4514 Fax: 202-507-4501



President
Steven W. Miller
Procter & Gamble Co.
Treasurer
Douglas K. Norman
Eli Lilly and Co.

Marc S. Adler Immediate Past President Angelo N. Chaclas Pitney Bowes Inc. William J. Coughlin Ford Global Technologies LLC Timothy Crean

Timothy Crean
SAP AG
Robert DeBerardine
Sanofi-Aventis

Directors

Bart Eppenauer Microsoft Corp. Scott M. Frank AT&T Michael L. Glenn

Dow Chemical Co.
Roger Gobrogge
Dow Corning Corp.
Bernard J. Graves, Jr.
Eastman Chemical Co.
Krish Gupta

EMC Corporation

Jack E. Haken

Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.

Dennis R. Hoerner, Jr.

Monsanto Co.

Carl B. Horton

General Electric Co.

Michael Jaro

Medtronic, Inc.

Michael Jaro
Medtronic, Inc.
Soonhee Jang
Danisco U.S., Inc.
Jennifer K. Johnson
ZymoGenetics, Inc.

ZymoGenetics, Inc.
Philip S. Johnson
Johnson & Johnson
George William Johnston

Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.

Dean Kamen
DEKA Research & Development

Corporation
Charles M. Kinzig
GlaxoSmithKline
David J. Koris

David J. Koris
Shell International B.V.
Noreen A. Krall
Sun Microsystems, Inc.

Michelle Lee Google Inc. William C. Lee, III Coca-Cola Co. Kevin Light

Hewlett-Packard Co. Richard J. Lutton, Jr. Apple Inc. Jonathan P. Meyer Motorola, Inc. Jeffrey L. Myers

Sean O'Brien
United Technologies, Corp.
Richard F. Phillips
Exxon Mobil Corp.

Kevin H. Rhodes 3M Innovative Properties Co. Peter C. Richardson Pfizer, Inc.

Mark L. Rodgers
Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.
Manny Schecter
IBM, Corp.

Robert R. Schroeder
Mars Incorporated
David Simon
Intel Corp.

Dennis C. Skarvan Caterpillar Inc. Russ Slifer Micron Technology, Inc. Wayne Sobon

Mayne Sobon
Accenture Ltd.
Daniel J. Staudt
Siemens Corp.
Brian K. Stierwalt

ConocoPhillips Thierry Sueur Air Liquide James J. Trussell BP America, Inc.

Michael Walker
DuPont
Stuart Watt
Amgen, Inc.
Don Webber

Covidien

Paul D. Yasger Abbott Laboratories General Counsel

Eva H. Davis
Kirkland and Ellis, LLP
Executive Director
Herbert C. Wamsley

November 27, 2009

The Honorable David Kappos
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property
and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO)
Mail Stop Comments
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Patents Ombudsman Pilot Program
Submitted by email to: ombudsmanprogram@uspto.gov

RE: Comments on Proposed Patents Ombudsman Pilot Program

Dear Director Kappos:

Intellectual Property Owners Association (IPO) submits the following comments pursuant to the Office's request for comments contained in its notice set forth at 74 Fed. Reg. 5521 (October 27, 2009). We appreciate the opportunity to comment.

IPO is a trade association representing companies and individuals in all industries and fields of technology who own or are interested in intellectual property rights. IPO's membership includes more than 200 companies and more than 11,000 individuals who are involved in the association either through their companies or as IPO inventor, author, executive, law firm or attorney members. Our corporate members file more than 30 percent of the patent applications filed in the USPTO by U.S. nationals.

COMMENTS

IPO applauds the USPTO's initiative in this matter. Creating an Ombudsman position will provide the patent community with another resource to turn to when it believes that prosecution of a patent application has gone astray. The program outlined in the notice appears to set forth a reasonable starting point for a pilot program. The notice indicates that the program is not intended to serve as an alternative forum for issues that are subject to petition or appeal. It would be helpful if the USPTO would publish a list of exemplary topics for which it would be appropriate to contact an Ombudsman and subjects for which it would not be appropriate to do so. In this manner, the program would not be initially burdened with inappropriate requests for assistance. In the alternative, it would also prevent this forum from being under-used because of practitioner uncertainty.

It would be useful if the USPTO would report on a regular basis the issues raised and outcomes achieved in a manner that does not identify individual participants. Such a report would be more informative if it would break down the issues and outcomes in the pilot program at the Art Unit level so the patent community as a whole can see how specific issues in the individual Art Units have been answered.

Furthermore, in conjunction with this pilot program it is believed useful if the USPTO would publish on its web site the customer service parameters the various employees of the agency are expected to meet. For example, it would be helpful if the USPTO would indicate the timeframe in which a user can expect a return phone call from a patent examiner, e.g., within 24 hours, and if a return phone call is not received what follow up should occur, e.g., contact the SPE or the ombudsman. Another example is the current time it takes for the USPTO to docket a paper once it is filed in a patent application so applicant will know the timeframe in which the examiner can take the paper up for consideration. Other examples include updated information concerning the filing dates of new cases that are being taken up for initial examination by each Art Unit. Knowledge of such parameters will foster a better understanding of what is to be expected and provide an indication that a case has gone astray and intervention by an ombudsman may be needed.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these comments.

Sincerely,

Steven W. Miller

President