From: Gail Monahan
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2012 9:47 AM
To: fitf_guidance; fitf_rules
Cc: Amanda Arnold; William B. Bonvillian; Lita L Nelsen; Gail Monahan; R. Gregory Morgan
Subject: MIT's response to USPTO comment on FITF proposed regs

Hello,

Please find the attached comments from MIT on Changes to Implement the First Inventor to File Provisions of the
Leahy-SmithAmerica Invents Act, 77 Fed. Reg. 43742; and ExaminationGuidelines for Implementing the First Inventor to File Provisions of the
America Invents Act, 77 Fed. Reg. 43759.Leahy-Smith

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Gail

Gail Monahan Senior Administrative Assistant to Professor Claude R. Canizares Vice President for Research and Associate Provost Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Bldg. 3-234 Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 Tel: 617-253-6495 Fax: 617-253-3193 e-mail: gmonahan@mit.edu



Claude R. Canizares

Vice President for Research and Associate Provost Bruno Rossi Professor of Physics

77 Massachusetts Avenue, Building 3-234 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307 Phone 617-253-3206 Fax 617-253-3193

November 5, 2012

Mail Stop Comments—Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attn: Ms. Susy Tsang-Foster, Legal Advisor Office of Patent Legal Administration

> Ms. Mary C. Till, Senior Legal Advisor Office of Patent Legal Administration

Re: Comments of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology on: *Changes to Implement the First Inventor to File Provisions of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act*, 77 Fed. Reg. 43742; and *Examination Guidelines for Implementing the First Inventor to File Provisions of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act*, 77 Fed. Reg. 43759

Dear Ms. Tsang-Foster and Ms. Till:

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) appreciates the effort to modernize the patent system, both in the passage of the America Invents Act (AIA) and now in the development of the accompanying regulatory framework.

Several comments already submitted highlight important aspects of the proposed regulations. These comments include the necessity for delicate language to preserve the one-year grace period given evidence of congressional intent to accommodate scholarly publishing and communications in advance of patent application filings.

As a result, MIT endorses the comments submitted on October 4, 2012 by six <u>Higher Education Associations</u>, (Association of American Universities, American Council on Education, Association of American Medical Colleges, Association of Public and Landgrant Universities, Association of University Technology Managers, and Council on Governmental Relations).

MIT urges rigorous review and consideration of the robust recommendations included in the Appendix of the comments submitted by the University of California on October 4, 2012.

MIT supports the call to protect the grace period as outlined in comments submitted on July 17, 2012 by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

We thank you for your effort to build the regulatory framework to support implementation of AIA.

Sincerely yours, Changeves

Claude R. Canizares