From: M L [e-mail address redacted] Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2012 2:56 PM To: oath_declaration Subject: Changes To Implement the Inventor's Oath

Dear United States Patent and Trademark Office,

I noticed your plan to implement the inventors oath with changes to better clarify the rules of practice relating to the inventor's oath and the Leahy Smith America Invents Act. I find this to be important because inventors in America need to clearly understand all of the rules and laws associated with the process. I agree with most of the changes that you want to implement will better facilitate the processing of patent applications. Inventions (especially in America) are very important and sacred to inventors who worked hard to create them. Not only do they usually benefit others with their use, but they also help the "home front" economy here in our country by peaking consumer interest and spending or saving. I do have a question about wanting to remove the 'without any deceptive intention' writing. Why is that? I feel that this part should stay with the inventors oath so that no one can just come through with an inventions for all inventors. I just feel that if the oath is to be taken seriously with ALL inventors, then the "without any deceptive intention" should remain in the oath to set a clear guideline, otherwise, inventions can be deceptive without the proper written documentation. I do not believe that keeping this statement in the inventors oath will complicate things for current and future inventors.

I strongly agree with you move to implement "each individual who is the inventor or a joint inventor of a claimed invention in an application must execute an oath or declaration in connection with the application." The moment I read this it made complete sense to me and I feel it belongs with the inventors oath. When I read the inventor's oath, I noticed that it was very long but informative for what an inventor needs to agree too. I would just ask that you leave the 'without any deceptive intention' in writing as this should help weed out the "trouble makers" so to speak. Please respond back when you have the time. Thank you.

Matthew.